Slower but steadier?

China's economy might be nearly a seventh smaller

The Economist

Subscribe

Log in or sign up Manage subscription

A new study examines tax revenues to revise down growth estimates



Print edition | Finance and economics

Mar 7th 2019 | SHANGHAI

F or a country that is regularly accused of manipulating its statistics, China is remarkably diligent about collecting them. The government has dispatched two million boffins to visit companies, stores and even street stalls in the first few months of this year, as part of a new national economic census. Ads plastered on billboards implore people to co-operate. In a

flashy promotional video on its website, the national statistics bureau warns that any fabrication of data is against the law.

But these laudable efforts do not appear to be solving the basic problems with Chinese statistics. A new paper, by Chang-Tai Hsieh of the University of Chicago and three co-authors from the Chinese University of Hong Kong, finds that industrial output and investment have been consistently embellished. As a result, they argue that China overstated real GDP growth by two percentage points on average every year from 2008 to 2016 (see chart). Over time that adds up: official figures for 2016 would have exaggerated the size of the economy by 16%, or more than \$1.5trn.

Get our daily newsletter				
Upgrade your inbox and get our Daily Dispatch and Editor's Picks.				
Email address	Sign up now			



The Economist

These economists are certainly not the first to question Chinese numbers. But their paper, published by the Brookings Institution in Washington on March 7th, deserves attention because they had better access to the statistics bureau than most. Though they worked only with public data, they knew where to shine a light. They looked at how revenues from value-added tax on industrial firms compared with reported growth of industrial output. Until 2007

the two lined up well. But after 2008 gaps opened up, although they have narrowed a bit in recent years. The authors also built an alternative model for measuring growth using indicators that cannot be easily manipulated, including satellite imagery of night lights, railway cargo and imports, and came to the same conclusion.

Those sceptical of China's data sometimes assert that its statisticians have the power to fiddle with numbers to present their desired outcome. The authors argue that the problem is the opposite: that at the central level they lack the power to correct for the misdeeds of other officials. It has long been noted that provincial GDP totals, when added up, exceed national GDP. The national bureau is alert to this and so adjusts provincial figures by, for example, collecting data through separate channels.

Yet from 2008, when the global financial crisis struck, the adjustments failed to keep up with the distortions, the paper says. For provincial leaders the incentives are clear: their chances of promotion depend on reported economic performance, which they can embellish. Since they rank above the statistics bureau politically, only the bravest beancounter would dare stand in their way. Tellingly, only after crackdowns on corruption in provinces such as Liaoning and Inner Mongolia did authorities admit that their data had been inflated. If the authors are right, these cases are a small sample of a wider epidemic.

There is, however, a silver lining. Local and national figures for consumption are closely aligned. It is mainly industrial output and investment that are exaggerated. The downward revisions therefore result in a substantially different picture of the shape of China's economy. The authors find that investment, properly measured, was 36% of GDP in 2016, not 43%, as the government says. Debt as a share of GDP is higher than officially reported, but the return to capital is not as low as feared and consumption is more prominent as an engine of growth. Looked at this way, the Chinese economy is smaller but better balanced and thus, perhaps, more resilient.

This article appeared in the Finance and economics section of the print edition under the headline "Slower but steadier?"

Print edition	Finance and	economics

Mar 7th 2019 | SHANGHAI

Reuse this content

About The Economist

Lexington

Joe Biden provides a fossil record of how the Democrats have changed

The former vice-president will have to show he has changed with it

The deal's off

Deutsche Bank and Commerzbank call off merger talks

A trap of one's own

China tries to calm jitters about the "Belt and Road" initiative

Running to stand still

Egypt's economy thrills investors, but locals are struggling

Subscribe	Group subscriptions	Contact us			
Help					
Keep updated					
f y in ©	D 2				
Sign up to get more from The Economist					
Get 5 free articles per month, daily newsletters and more.					
Email address	Sig	n up			
About The Economist					
Advertise	Reprints				
Careers	Media Centre				

Terms of Use Privacy

Cookie Policy Manage Cookies

Accessibility Modern Slavery Statement

Copyright ${\hbox{$\mathbb C$}}$ The Economist Newspaper Limited 2019. All rights reserved.