Introduction

Philippe Aghion and Patrick Bolton

model of the strategic role of debt
risk of default: a political-economic
Government domestic debt and the
The public good, $\gamma$, is provided by the government in each of our three periods, so the following is a model of our three periods:

$$\gamma = \delta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}$$

with the government's fiscal policy.

The important policy parameters are assumed to be represented by the following equation:

$$\gamma = \delta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}$$

with the government's fiscal policy.

The important policy parameters are assumed to be represented by the following equation:

$$\gamma = \delta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}$$

with the government's fiscal policy.

The important policy parameters are assumed to be represented by the following equation:

$$\gamma = \delta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}$$

with the government's fiscal policy.
To begin with, consider the optimal share of consumption in period 1.

1. Optimal consumption in period 1.

a. Preferences and Utility Maximization: The optimal consumption in period 1 is determined by maximizing utility subject to the budget constraint.

\[ d(1 + r) > \gamma \]

b. Budget Constraint and Budget Deficit: The budget constraint for period 1 is given by

\[ Y = C_1 + G_1 + \Delta \]

where

\[ Y = \text{Income} \\ C_1 = \text{Consumption in period 1} \\ G_1 = \text{Government Expenditure in period 1} \]

The budget deficit is then

\[ \Delta = Y - (C_1 + G_1) \]

2. Optimal Consumption in Period 2.

a. Preferences and Utility Maximization: The optimal consumption in period 2 is determined by maximizing utility subject to the budget constraint.

\[ d(1 + r) > \gamma \]

b. Budget Constraint and Budget Deficit: The budget constraint for period 2 is given by

\[ Y = C_2 + G_2 + \Delta \]

where

\[ Y = \text{Income} \\ C_2 = \text{Consumption in period 2} \\ G_2 = \text{Government Expenditure in period 2} \]

The budget deficit is then

\[ \Delta = Y - (C_2 + G_2) \]


a. Social Planner's Optimal Policy: The social planner's problem is to maximize social welfare subject to the budget constraint.

\[ \max_{C_1, C_2} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t) \]

subject to

\[ Y = C_1 + G_1 + \Delta \]

b. Right-Wing and Left-Wing Parties: The right-wing party favors lower taxes and higher government spending, while the left-wing party favors higher taxes and lower government spending.

\[ \text{Right-Wing: } \Delta = 0 \]

\[ \text{Left-Wing: } \Delta = Y \]


a. Government's Role: The government's role is to determine the level of consumption and taxes in each period.


\[ \text{Government}: \begin{cases} \Delta = Y - (C_1 + G_1) \\ \Delta = Y - (C_2 + G_2) \end{cases} \]

The government's role is then to determine the optimal level of consumption and taxes in each period to maximize social welfare.
The government chooses to tax public goods in order to redistribute income. The goal is to maximize social welfare subject to the government's budget constraint. The government's budget constraint is given by

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

where \( dG \) is the change in government spending, \( y \) is income, and \( \tau \) is the tax rate. The social planner's objective is to maximize social welfare subject to the government's budget constraint:

\[ \max_{y} \left( \frac{dG}{dy} \right) \]

subject to

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

or alternatively,

\[ \max_{y} \left( \frac{dG}{dy} \right) \]

subject to

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

The optimal tax rate is given by

\[ \tau^* = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the marginal utility of income. The government's optimal tax rate is inversely proportional to the marginal utility of income. 
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The following equation yields the following income redistribution function:

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

The government's tax rate depends on income, \( y \), and the tax rate, \( \tau \). The social planner's objective is to maximize social welfare subject to the government's budget constraint. The government's budget constraint is given by:

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

where \( dG \) is the change in government spending, \( y \) is income, and \( \tau \) is the tax rate. The social planner's objective is to maximize social welfare subject to the government's budget constraint:

\[ \max_{y} \left( \frac{dG}{dy} \right) \]

subject to

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

or alternatively,

\[ \max_{y} \left( \frac{dG}{dy} \right) \]

subject to

\[ \frac{dG}{dy} = \frac{\tau y}{1 + \tau} \]

The optimal tax rate is given by

\[ \tau^* = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the marginal utility of income. The government's optimal tax rate is inversely proportional to the marginal utility of income.
For an individual, if the marginal utility of the good is greater than the marginal utility of the next best alternative, then the individual will consume more of the good.

In the context of the government's decision-making process, the government's objective is to maximize social welfare. The social welfare function is given by

\[ W = \sum_{i} u_i(q_i) \]

where \( u_i \) is the utility function for individual \( i \), and \( q_i \) is the quantity of the good consumed by individual \( i \).

The government's decision involves choosing the optimal level of production, \( q^* \), to maximize social welfare, satisfying the following budget constraint:

\[ D + P = q^* \]

where \( D \) is the disposable income and \( P \) is the price of the good.

The government's decision rule is given by

\[ q^* = \max \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial q} \right) \]

subject to the budget constraint.

If the government chooses the optimal level of production, it will achieve an equilibrium state where the marginal social benefit (MSB) equals the marginal social cost (MSC). The government's decision rule can be expressed as

\[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial q} = 0 \]

subject to the budget constraint.

In practice, the government may face various constraints, such as the availability of resources, political considerations, and economic stability. The government's decision-making process involves a complex interplay between these factors and the pursuit of social welfare maximization.
Proposition 2: A right-wing government followed by a right-wing government will choose the right-wing policy if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \geq \alpha$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \leq \alpha$$

We have:

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} = \alpha$$

where

$$\alpha > \beta$$

Proposition 3: When a left-wing government is followed by a right-wing government, the right-wing government will choose the right-wing policy if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \geq \alpha$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \leq \alpha$$

We have:

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \frac{\beta + 1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} = \alpha$$

where

$$\alpha > \beta$$
Proposition 1: In a first-best administration, the demand for public goods is given by \( g = \frac{d}{d+1} \). This implies that the equilibrium demand for public goods follows a Pareto principle.

Proposition 2: In a first-best administration, the demand for public goods is given by \( g = \frac{d}{d+1} \). This implies that the equilibrium demand for public goods follows a Pareto principle.

Proposition 3: In a first-best administration, the demand for public goods is given by \( g = \frac{d}{d+1} \). This implies that the equilibrium demand for public goods follows a Pareto principle.

Proof: Consider the first-best decision of a Nash equilibrium. We know that the demand for public goods is given by \( g = \frac{d}{d+1} \). This implies that the equilibrium demand for public goods follows a Pareto principle.
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Y + 1}{\sigma Y} &< a \\
\frac{Y + 1}{\tau Y} &\geq a \\
\max \left( \frac{Y + 1}{\sigma Y}, \frac{Y + 1}{\tau Y} \right) &\leq a \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{d\gamma} \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{D(\gamma - \alpha)} + \alpha \right) = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) (\phi \gamma^\alpha + (\gamma + 1))
\]

Next, we can rewrite as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{d\gamma} \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{D(\gamma - \alpha)} + \alpha \right) = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) (\phi \gamma^\alpha + (\gamma + 1))
\]

Hence (26), we then have for all \( \alpha \):

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) (\phi \gamma^\alpha + (\gamma + 1)) = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha + \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) (\gamma + 1)
\]

When \( \phi = 1 \) and the decision is derived in (25),

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \gamma^\alpha
\]

We can then conclude the following lemma.

\[\text{Lemma I: } \gamma \epsilon (0, 1) \text{ such that for } \gamma \text{ to be chosen equal to 1, then all income groups are better off,}\]

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \gamma^\alpha
\]

We can then conclude the following lemma.

\[\text{Lemma I: } \gamma \epsilon (0, 1) \text{ such that for } \gamma \text{ to be chosen equal to 1, then all income groups are better off,}\]

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \left( \frac{d}{d\gamma} \right) \gamma^\alpha = \gamma^\alpha
\]

Suppose first that \( \gamma = 1 \), in that case decision is derived in (25) and only if the expected income is positive and current income is also positive, the government chooses to increase current income, which is greater than zero and \( \gamma > 0 \). This result indicates that a (credit) government with a high marginal propensity to consume will increase its budget by more than what the citizens expect, thus increasing their disposable income. The net effects of this increase in disposable income will be positive, as higher disposable income leads to higher consumption and saving. The government's decision to increase its budget by more than what the citizens expect will lead to a net increase in disposable income, which will further increase consumption and saving, leading to a positive feedback loop. This positive feedback loop will continue until the government's budget and the citizens' expectations converge, at which point the government's budget will be equal to the citizens' expectations of their disposable income. This will lead to a stable equilibrium, where the government's budget is equal to the citizens' expectations of their disposable income, and both are positive. This result has important implications for government policy, as it suggests that the government should not underestimate the citizens' expectations of their disposable income when setting its budget, as this could lead to a self-fulfilling prophecy and a divergence between the government's budget and the citizens' expectations of their disposable income.
Proposition 6. When \( \alpha \) is close enough to 0, there exists a level of debt \( D \) such that for all \( \alpha \) in \( [0, \alpha^*] \), where \( \alpha^* \) is close to 0,
\[
\left( \frac{Y + 1}{\nu^3} \right) \geq \min \left( \frac{1}{\nu^3}, \frac{1}{\nu^3} \right)
\]
and \( D \) is the threshold debt level such that for all \( \alpha \) in \( [0, \alpha^*] \), the right-wing incumbent is re-elected.\( \square \)

Consider the situation where \( \alpha \) is close to 0 and \( D \) is the threshold debt level such that for all \( \alpha \) in \( [0, \alpha^*] \), the right-wing incumbent is re-elected.

The next two propositions establish the condition under which the right-wing party is in power in period 2.

The next two propositions establish the condition under which the right-wing party is in power in period 2.

The optimal debt decision.

\[
\frac{Y + 1}{\nu^3} < (\alpha) \quad \text{(a)}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{Y + 1}{\nu^3} \right) > (\alpha) \quad \text{(a)}
\]
to maximizing expenditure on public goods and not damaging on the problem for the left-wing candidate in the absence of common interest on the right. However, if the voter was satisfied with the left-wing candidate, he would vote for the left-wing candidate, because he would not vote for the incumbent on the right-wing candidate. The same inequality holds for all voters, and the same is satisfied when

\[
\frac{\text{left-wing}}{\text{right-wing}} > \frac{\text{right-wing}}{\text{left-wing}}
\]

But from the proof of Lemma 2, we know that (34) is satisfied when

\[
\frac{\text{left-wing}}{\text{right-wing}} < \left(\frac{\text{right-wing}}{\text{left-wing}} + \left(\frac{\text{right-wing}}{\text{left-wing}} - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)
\]

(32)

(33)

To show the first period choice of \(\text{left-wing}\), the left-wing candidate is elected. If the left-wing candidate is elected, the median voter must compare the losses involved in deciding either

\[
\left(\frac{\text{left-wing}}{\text{right-wing}} < \frac{\text{right-wing}}{\text{left-wing}}\right)
\]

The left-wing candidate will minimally decrease, and the left-wing candidate will decrease on the left. However, the left-wing candidate will decrease on the right, and the government will decrease on the left. This is because the left-wing candidate will decrease on the left, and the government will decrease on the right. However, the left-wing candidate will decrease on the left, and the government will decrease on the right. This is because the left-wing candidate will decrease on the left, and the government will decrease on the right.
son of the and \( (\theta) \).

Proposition 7: If the following inequality holds for a term of \( v > 0 \), and \( v > 0 \),

\[
\frac{(v + \theta + 1)\theta}{(v + \theta + 1)(v + 1)} - \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} + 1 \geq \frac{(\theta + 1)(v + 1)}{v + 1}
\]

\[
< \left( \frac{(v + \theta + 1)(v + 1)}{v + 1} + \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} \right)\theta
\]

which is automatically satisfied when \( v > 0 \) and \( v > 0 \).

Therefore, the inequality \((\theta)\) on the other hand, can be expressed as:

\[
0 < (\theta - v - 1 - \theta v - \theta v - v)
\]

which is automatically satisfied for small since \( v > 0 \). By assumption,

\[
\theta + 1 \quad \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = v
\]

where:

\[
\theta(\theta + 1) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta)\theta
\]

This amount is simply delayed by the equation.

Next, suppose that the incumbent chooses the minimum amount of

\[
(\theta + 1)(\theta + 1) + \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} \log \left( \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} \right) - \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} \log \left( \frac{v + \theta + 1}{v + 1} \right)
\]

We can then express \((35)\) as:

\[
\frac{v}{v - \theta v} = \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

and is given by:

\[
(\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

The corresponding savings for the government are equal to:

\[
\frac{v}{v - \theta v} = \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

First, from the proof of Lemma 2, we know that for the equilibrium,

\[
(\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

and 

\[
(\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

Now we can show that for a sufficiently small:

\[
(\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

The incumbent's net utility in this case will be given by:

\[
(\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v} = (\theta(\theta + 1)) - \frac{v}{v - \theta v}
\]

Government domestic debt and interest of default.
The result of this section is that, for any value of 

\[ \theta > \frac{1}{2} \]  

we get a unique solution, where the model matches the policy. Other 

isomorphic solutions are found which do not match the policy.

Moreover, if we assume information is private and that the population 

does not have complete knowledge of the policy, the solution remains 

unchanged. This suggests that we can relax the assumption of

private information and still obtain meaningful results.

In any case, we do wish to argue that the unique solution of the model

is robust to the presence of incomplete information.

However, the model can be extended to include

additional features, such as

interactions between

households and

the government.

The model can also be extended to

include

 externalities

between

households.

We are particularly interested in

how

various

factors

affect

the

outcome.

NOTES

The model of this section
discusses the role of

informal institutions in

government failure.

These institutions are

important because they

influence the behavior of

individuals and

institutions within

the government.

The model is based on

the idea that

informal institutions

shape the behavior of

decision-makers.

The model can be extended
to include additional

factors, such as

political

institutions.

However, the model

remains robust to

the presence

of additional

factors.
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Government Domestic debt and the risk of default

In a choice, we consider several government policies that can impact the risk of default. In particular, we look at the effects of debt level on government operations. We find that as the debt level increases, the risk of default also increases. This is because higher levels of debt can lead to higher interest payments, which can strain government budgets. In addition, high levels of debt can make it more difficult for the government to borrow in the future, as investors may become more risk-averse. This can limit the government's ability to respond to economic downturns.

We also explore the effects of different fiscal policies on the risk of default. For example, we find that cutting government spending can reduce the risk of default, as it can lower the debt-to-GDP ratio. Similarly, increasing taxes can also reduce the risk of default, as it can raise government revenue. However, it is important to note that these policies should be implemented carefully, as they can also have negative effects on economic growth.

In conclusion, the risk of default is a significant concern for governments. It is important for governments to carefully manage their debt levels and fiscal policies to minimize this risk.

 Philippine Action and Fiscal Balance

(3) Given the form of the utility function, we can consider such goods.
Consider the case where \( h < 0 \). Then, the condition (2) becomes:

\[ \frac{a}{p^2} \leq \frac{a}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p}. \]

In other words, the inequality arises if and only if \( p = 0 \). Now that we have this condition, we need to consider the case where \( h > 0 \) and \( \frac{a}{p} > 0 \).

For the case where \( \frac{a}{p} > 0 \), we have:

\[ \left( \frac{a}{p} + 1 \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{a}{p} + 1 \right) \geq 0. \]

Now, let's consider the case where \( h \geq 0 \), which is equivalent to:

\[ \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p}. \]

This case is the same as in the previous case, and we have already covered it. Therefore, we have:

\[ \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p}. \]

Now, let's consider the case where \( h < 0 \) and \( \frac{a}{p} < 0 \).

For the case where \( \frac{a}{p} < 0 \), we have:

\[ \left( \frac{a}{p} + 1 \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{a}{p} + 1 \right) \geq 0. \]

Now, let's consider the case where \( h \geq 0 \), which is equivalent to:

\[ \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p}. \]

This case is the same as in the previous case, and we have already covered it. Therefore, we have:

\[ \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{p^2} \geq \frac{a}{p}. \]
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Figure 11.4 Shifting the medium voter's preferences to the left
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